## Appendix C Numerical linear algebra background

Last update on 2025-04-02 15:31:23+08:00

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky,  $LDL^{T}$  factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky, LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

execution time (cost) of solving Ax = b with nonsingular  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  for general methods, grows as  $n^3$
- less if A is structured (banded, sparse, Toeplitz, ...)

#### flop counts

- flop (floating-point operation): one addition, subtraction, multiplication, or division of two floating-point numbers
- to estimate complexity of an algorithm: express number of flops as a (polynomial) function of the problem dimensions, and simplify by keeping only the leading terms
- not an accurate predictor of computation time on modern computers
- useful as a rough estimate of complexity

#### Basic linear algebra subroutines (BLAS)

vector-vector operations with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

- inner product  $x^T y$ : 2n 1 flops ( $\approx 2n$  if n is large)
- sum x + y, scalar multiplication  $\alpha x$ : n flops

matrix-vector product y = Ax with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ 

• 
$$m(2n-1)$$
 flops ( $\approx 2mn$  if  $n$  is large)

- $\blacktriangleright$  2N if A is sparse with N nonzero elements
- ▶ 2p(n+m) if A is given as  $A = UV^T$  where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

matrix-matrix product C = AB with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ 

• 
$$mp(2n-1)$$
 flops ( $\approx 2mnp$  if n is large)

less if A and/or B are sparse

• 
$$(1/2)m(m+1)(2n-1) \approx m^2 n$$
 if  $m = p$  and  $C$  symmetric

- there are good implementations of BLAS and variants (e.g., for sparse matrices)
- CPU single thread speeds typically 1–10 Gflops/s ( $10^9$  flops/sec)
- CPU multi threaded speeds typically 10–100 Gflops/s
- ► GPU speeds typically 100 Gflops/s-1 Tflops/s (10<sup>12</sup> flops/sec)

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky, LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

## Linear equations that are easy to solve

diagonal matrices  $(a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j)$  n flops

$$x = A^{-1}b = (b_1/a_{11}, \dots, b_n/a_{nn})$$

lower triangular  $(a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j > i)$   $n^2$  flops via forward substitution

$$x_{1} = b_{1}/a_{11}$$

$$x_{2} = (b_{2} - a_{21}x_{1})/a_{22}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{n} = (b_{n} - a_{n1}x_{1} - \dots - a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1})/a_{nn}$$

upper triangular ( $a_{ij} = 0$  if j < i)  $n^2$  flops via backward substitution

orthogonal matrices  $(A^{-1} = A^T)$ 

▶  $2n^2$  flops to compute  $x = A^T b$  for general A

less with structure, e.g., if  $A = I - 2uu^T$  with  $||u||_2 = 1$ , we can compute

$$x = A^T b = b - 2(u^T b)u$$

in 4n flops

permutation matrices

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = \pi_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ 

- interpretation:  $Ax = (x_{\pi_1}, x_{\pi_2}, \dots, x_{\pi_n})$
- ▶ satisfies  $A^{-1} = A^T$ , hence cost of solving Ax = b is 0 flops

## Factor-solve method for solving Ax = b

• factor A as a product of simple matrices (usually 2 or 3)

 $A = A_1 A_2 \dots A_k$ 

where  $A_i$  diagonal, upper or lower triangular, etc.

• compute  $x = A^{-1}b = A_k^{-1} \dots A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}b$  by solving k "easy" equations

$$A_1 x_1 = b, \quad A_2 x_2 = x_1, \quad \dots, \quad A_k x = x_{k-1}$$

cost of factorization usually dominates cost of solve

equations with multiple righthand sides

$$Ax_1 = b_1, \quad Ax_2 = b_2, \quad \dots, \quad Ax_m = b_m$$

cost: one factorization plus m solves

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

### LU, Cholesky, $LDL^{T}$ factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

assume that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular

LU factorization

A = PLU

where P permutation matrix, L lower triangular, U upper triangular

 $\cos t = (2/3)n^3$  flops

#### solving linear equations by LU factorization

given a system of linear equations Ax = b with A nonsingular

- 1. LU factorization. Factor A as A = PLU, cost  $(2/3)n^3$  flops
- 2. *Permutation*. Solve  $Pz_1 = b$ , cost 0 flops
- 3. Forward substitution. Solve  $Lz_2 = z_1$ , cost  $n^2$  flops
- 4. Backward substitution. Solve  $Ux = z_2$ , cost  $n^2$  flops

total cost = 
$$(2/3)n^3 + 2n^2 \approx (2/3)n^3$$

assume further that A is sparse

sparse LU factorization

$$A = P_1 L U P_2$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  adding permutation matrix  $P_2$  offers possibility of sparser L and U

- $\triangleright$   $P_1$  and  $P_2$  chosen (heuristically) to yield sparse L and U
- $\blacktriangleright$  choice of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  depends on sparsity pattern and values of A
- ▶ cost is usually much less than  $(2/3)n^3$ ; exact value depends in a complicated way on n, number of zeros in A, and sparsity pattern

assume that  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n_{++}$ 

### Cholesky factorization

$$A = LL^T$$

where L lower triangular

 $\cos t = (1/3)n^3$  flops

solving linear equations by Cholesky factorization

given a system of linear equations Ax = b with  $A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$ 

- 1. Cholesky factorization. Factor A as  $A = LL^T$ , cost  $(1/3)n^3$  flops
- 2. Forward substitution. Solve  $Lz_1 = b$ , cost  $n^2$  flops
- 3. Backward substitution. Solve  $L^T x = z_1$ , cost  $n^2$  flops

total cost = 
$$(1/3)n^3 + 2n^2 \approx (1/3)n^3$$

assume further that A is sparse

sparse Cholesky factorization

$$A = PLL^T P^T$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  adding permutation matrix P offers possibility of sparser L
- P chosen (heuristically) to yield sparse L
- choice of P depends only on sparsity pattern of A (unlike sparse LU)
- cost is usually much less than (1/3)n<sup>3</sup>; exact value depends in a complicated way on n, number of zeros in A, and sparsity pattern

# $\mathsf{L}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{L}^\mathsf{T}$ factorization

assume that  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is nonsingular

## $\mathsf{L}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{L}^\mathsf{T}$ factorization

$$A = PLDL^T P^T$$

where P permutation matrix, L lower triangular, D block diagonal with nonsingular  $1\times 1$  or  $2\times 2$  diagonal blocks

 $cost = (1/3)n^3$  flops

• cost of solving system of linear equations Ax = b by LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization

$$(1/3)n^3 + 2n^2 + cn \approx (1/3)n^3$$

• for sparse A, can choose P to yield sparse L, with cost much less than  $(1/3)n^3$ 

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky,  $LDL^{T}$  factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

## Equations with structured subblocks

assume the system of linear equations Ax = b can be written in the block form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where vabiables  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ; blocks  $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_j}$ 

• if  $A_{11}$  is nonsingular, can eliminate  $x_1$  by

$$x_1 = A_{11}^{-1}(b_1 - A_{12}x_2)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  to compute  $x_2$ , solve the reduced equation

$$(A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12})x_2 = b_2 - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}b_1$$

the matrix

$$S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$$

is called the **Schur complement** of  $A_{11}$  in A; S is nonsingular iff A is nonsingular

solving linear equations by block elimination

given a system of linear equations with A and  $A_{11}$  nonsingular

- 1. Form  $A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$  and  $A_{11}^{-1}b_1$ .
- 2. Form  $S = A_{22} A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$  and  $\overline{b} = b_2 A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}b_1$ .
- 3. Determine  $x_2$  by solving  $Sx_2 = \overline{b}$ .
- 4. Determine  $x_1$  by solving  $A_{11}x_1 = b_1 A_{12}x_2$ .

#### dominant terms in flop count

- ▶ step 1:  $f + n_2 s$  (f is cost of factoring  $A_{11}$ ; s is cost of solve step)
- ▶ step 2:  $2n_2^2n_1$  (cost dominated by product of  $A_{21}$  and  $A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ )
- ▶ step 3:  $(2/3)n_2^3$  (LU factorization)
- **•** step 4: neglected  $(A_{11} \text{ already factored in step 1})$

total cost 
$$\approx f + n_2 s + 2n_2^2 n_1 + (2/3)n_2^3$$

 ▶ for general A<sub>11</sub>, standard methods give f = (2/3)n<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup> and s = 2n<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> total cost ≈ (2/3)n<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup> + 2n<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>n<sub>2</sub> + 2n<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>n<sub>1</sub> + (2/3)n<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> = (2/3)(n<sub>1</sub> + n<sub>2</sub>)<sup>3</sup>
 ▶ for structured A<sub>11</sub>, could be much smaller, e.g., if A<sub>11</sub> diagonal, f = 0 and s = n<sub>1</sub> total cost ≈ 2n<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>n<sub>1</sub> + (2/3)n<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup>

## Structured matrix plus low rank term

assume 
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ , consider

(A + BC)x = b

write equivalently as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

apply block elimination, first solve

$$(I + CA^{-1}B)y = CA^{-1}b$$

then solve

$$Ax = b - By$$

• matrix inversion lemma if A and A + BC are nonsingular, then

$$(A + BC)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(I + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  particularly useful when A has structure, and p small (BC low rank)

#### **Example** assume A is diagonal

• method 1: form D = A + BC, then solve Dx = b

$$\cos t \approx (2/3)n^3 + 2pn^2$$

▶ method 2: first solve  $(I + CA^{-1}B)y = CA^{-1}b$ , then solve Ax = b - By

$$\mathrm{cost}\approx 2p^2n+(2/3)p^3$$

dominated by solving for y

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky, LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

#### Main effort in each iteration

$$\Delta x_{\rm nt} \coloneqq -\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x), \qquad \lambda(x)^2 \coloneqq \nabla f(x)^T \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x)$$

requires to evaluate derivatives and solve Newton system

$$H\Delta x = -g$$

where  $H = \nabla^2 f(x)$ ,  $g = \nabla f(x)$ 

Cholesky factorization (standard method)

$$H = LL^T$$
,  $\Delta x_{\rm nt} = -L^{-T}L^{-1}g$ ,  $\lambda(x) = \|L^{-1}g\|_2$ 

• cost 
$$(1/3)n^3$$
 flops for unstructured system

•  $\cos t \ll (1/3)n^3$  if H sparse or banded

## Example

#### Dense Newton system with structure

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_i(x_i) + \psi_0(Ax + b)$$

assume  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ , dense with  $p \ll n$ , then

$$H = D + A^T H_0 A$$

where

$$D = \mathbf{diag}(\psi_1''(x_1), \dots, \psi_n''(x_n)), \qquad H_0 = (\nabla^2 \psi_0)(Ax + b)$$

standard method

solve via dense Cholesky factorization, cost  $\approx (1/3)n^3$ 

#### alternative method solve via block elimination

• factor  $H_0 = L_0 L_0^T$ , write Newton system as

$$D\Delta x + A^T L_0 w = -g, \qquad L_0^T A\Delta x - w = 0$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  eliminate  $\Delta x$  from first equation, compute w and  $\Delta x$  from

$$(I + L_0^T A D^{-1} A^T L_0) w = -L_0^T A D^{-1} g, \qquad D\Delta x = -g - A^T L_0 w$$

• cost  $\approx 2p^2n$  (dominated by computation of  $L_0^T A D^{-1} A^T L_0$ )

Solving linear equations with factored matrices

LU, Cholesky, LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization

Block elimination and matrix inversion lemma

Implementation of Newton's method

#### mathematical optimization

- problems in engineering design, data analysis and statistics, economics, management, ..., can often be expressed as mathematical optimization problems
- > techniques exist to take into account multiple objectives or uncertainty in the data

### tractability

- roughly speaking, tractability in optimization requires convexity
- algorithms for non-convex optimization find local (suboptimal) solutions, or are very expensive
- surprisingly many applications can be formulated as convex problems

## Convexity

#### theoretical consequences

- local optima are global
- extensive duality theory (systematic way of deriving lower bounds on optimal value, necessary and sufficient optimality conditions, certificates of infeasibility, sensitivity analysis)
- solution methods with polynomial worst-case complexity theory (with self-concordance)

practical consequences (convex problems can be solved globally and efficiently)

- $\blacktriangleright$  interior-point methods require 20 80 Newton iterations in practice
- basic algorithms (e.g. Newton, barrier method, ...) are easy to implement
- even if the problem is quite non-convex, convex optimization can still be helpful



Feedback or Suggestions?





and the second sec